



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,157	12/05/2001	Kwang Hyup An	3449-0184P	2260

2292 7590 05/06/2003
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER
KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH

ART UNIT 3753
PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/002,157

Applicant(s)

AN ET AL.

Examiner

Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Art Unit

3753

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 11, 14 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

This office action is responsive to communications filed 12/05/2001.

Claims 1 – 15 are pending.

1. Figures 1 and 2A – 2D should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1 – 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) in view of Kapadia (US 5,228,468).

The Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses a valve plate structure comprising:

An open/shut means (20, 26) for inhaling and discharging fluid through movement of piston (19); and

A valve plate (22) including a suction port (221) coupled with the open/shutting means (20) through piston movement, a discharge port (222) for discharging fluid.

The prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses the invention with the exception disclosing a plurality of cavities provided to surround the outside of the suction port or the discharge port.

Kapadia ('468) discloses a valve plate structure comprising:

An open/shut means (10) for inhaling and discharging fluid in compressible fluid handling apparatus (13) through ports in a valve plate or valve seat (14), with a plurality of cavities (18) surrounding the port (16) for the purpose of damping the progress of the valve member towards it seat thereby minimizing the contact shock between the valve and the seat (Col. 1, lines 52 – 55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the suction port or the discharge port with a plurality of cavities surrounding the port for the purpose of damping the progress of the valve member towards it seat thereby minimizing the contact shock between the valve and the seat, as recognized by Kapadia ('468).

Regarding Claim 2, it is noted that the device disclosed in the Prior Art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses:

A suction valve (20) having a suction plate (201) at a position corresponding to suction port (221) of the valve plate (22) to intake fluid through piston movement;

A discharge valve (26) having a discharge plate (261) at a position corresponding to discharge port (222) of the valve plate (22) to discharge fluid; and

A head cover (28) having a suction tube (281) formed at a position corresponding to the suction port (221) and a discharging tube (282) formed at a position corresponding to the discharging port (222) of the valve plate (22) (see page 2 of the specification, lines 14 – 19)

Regarding Claim 3, it is noted that the compressor of the prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses the fluid to be a coolant (page 1, line 24).

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Kapadia ('468) discloses that the plurality of cavities (18) could have different widths in order to vary the damping effect (Col. 3, lines 1, 2).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10 it is noted that Kapadia ('468) discloses that the plurality of cavities (18) could have different geometries in order to vary the damping effect (Col. 3, lines 1, 2). Kapadia ('468) further discloses (Col. 2, lines 31 – 33) that depending upon the manufacturing method chosen cavities with non-circular shapes could be provided.

Regarding claim 7, it is noted that Kapadia ('468) discloses that the plurality of cavities (18) could have fixed dimensions (Col. 2, lines 65 – 68).

Regarding claim 11, it is noted that the open/shut means in the device according to the Prior Art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) is operated via pressure difference resulting from the piston movement.

Regarding claim 14, it is noted that is essentially a combination of the claims 1, 2 and 3 whose individual rejections have been set forth above. Recitations pertaining to the linear movement of the piston are disclosed in the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) specifically at page 1, line 21 – page 2, line 1).

Regarding claim 15, it is noted that the prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses (Page 1, lines 16 – 18) that the valve plate, discharging valve, the suction valve and the head cover are coupled via a bolt.

5. Claims 12 and 13 are allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record neither shows nor teaches a combination for the claimed valve plate structure that comprises in combination with other elements recited, a groove that is spirally provided to surround the outside of the suction or the discharge port.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sheats discloses a pump for refrigerating apparatus. Trask

Art Unit: 3753

discloses a discharge valve for compressors. King et al. discloses a compressor valve. Szaniszló' discloses a compressor valve with associated damping means. Kikuchi et al. discloses a valved discharge mechanism for a compressor. Ohta et al. discloses a suction valve arrangement for a hermetic compressor. Thurston et al. discloses an air conditioning reed valve support seat. Ito discloses a valve discharge mechanism for reducing the vibration resulting from the discharge valve assembly. Kim et al. discloses a resonator for rotary compressor. Ohtake discloses a scroll-type fluid apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Buiz, can be reached on (703) 308 - 0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 - 9302 and for after-final communications, the fax phone number is (703) 872 9303.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.



Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Examiner
Art Unit 3753
May 4, 2003